

# Unexpected Effects of Magnetic Fields on VSCPT

L. Liu, M.J. Bellanca, M. Cashen, L. Yatsenko<sup>1</sup>, and H. Metcalf

*Physics Department, SUNY at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3800 USA.*

*e-mail: hmetcalf @ notes.cc.sunysb.edu*

<sup>1</sup>*Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences, Prosp. Nauky 46, Kiev 252650, Ukraine*

The application of magnetic fields  $\vec{B}$  enhances the richness of laser manipulation of the motion of multi-level atoms. Some of the earliest such experiments discovered that weak  $\vec{B}$ -fields ( $\omega_Z \equiv \mu_B g |\vec{B}|/\hbar \ll \gamma_p$  where  $\gamma_p$  is the optical excitation rate) could induce sub-Doppler cooling in Rb if  $\vec{B}$  were not along the  $\hat{z}$ -axis determined by the light field [1]. Velocity selective resonance ( $v_{sr}$ ) experiments in stronger fields ( $\omega_Z > \gamma_p$ ) showed cooling of Rb toward a non-zero, tunable velocity  $v_{v_{sr}} = \omega_Z/k$  or  $\omega_Z/2k$ , depending on the polarization arrangement [2]. The opposite Doppler shifts for atoms traveling at  $v_{v_{sr}}$  in a standing wave maintain the Raman resonance between the ground state sublevels that have been Zeeman shifted. At  $v_{v_{sr}}$  atoms traverse one cycle of the optical standing wave ( $\lambda/2$ ) in one period of the Larmor precession ( $\pi/\omega_Z$  or  $2\pi/\omega_Z$ ). Although the cooling force of Ref. [2] can be calculated [3], its mechanical origin remains a mystery, unlike the sub-Doppler Sisyphus cooling force (for a review, see [4]).

Moreover, later experiments in Ne suggested that the cooling to finite velocity  $v_{v_{sr}}$  was not only sub-Doppler, but that the rms width  $\delta v$  of the velocity distribution  $N(v)$  was actually below the recoil limit  $v_r \equiv \hbar k/M$  [5]. Our recent measurements in metastable  $2^3S_1$  He (He\*) on the  $\lambda = 1083$  nm transition clearly show cooling to  $v_{v_{sr}}$  with  $\delta v$  as low as  $\sim 0.6v_r$  [6]. Optical cooling processes, even Sisyphus cooling, are limited to steady state  $N(v)$  with  $\delta v$  at least as large as a few  $v_r$  because of the randomness associated with the spontaneous emission necessary for phase space compression [4]. Thus our observed narrowness of  $N(v)$  in He\* must arise from some kind of magnetically induced quantum interference whose nature is still unknown.

Clearly, phenomena related to VSCPT would produce such a state, but the interference is of the excitation of two different momentum states, so  $N(v)$  would have the characteristic two-peaked shape, separated by  $2v_r$ . With  $\sigma^+ - \sigma^-$  light and  $B = 0$  we observe the usual two-peaked VSCPT over a modest range of laser parameters. As we vary  $\vec{B} = B_z$  ( $\hat{z}$  is along the optical  $\vec{k}$ -vectors) the center of the two-peaked  $N(v)$  shifts along the  $v_z$  axis according to  $v_{v_{sr}} = \omega_Z/k$  as discussed above. As we vary the laser parameters with fixed interaction time  $T$ ,  $N(v)$  evolves from the two-peaked VSCPT signal to a single peak (blue detuning) or dip (red) as in [7] when  $\gamma_p T < \sim 5$ . The peak (dip) has  $\delta v < v_r$  and is centered at  $v = v_{v_{sr}}$  (0 for  $B = 0$ ).

Furthermore, our He\* data on the  $J = 1 \rightarrow 1$  transition using other  $\vec{B}$ -field and polarization configurations also show single peaks or dips with  $\delta v < v_r$  centered at  $v = \pm v_{v_{sr}}$ . For example,  $\vec{B} = B_x$  always gives a single peak or dip at each of the two velocities  $v = \pm v_{v_{sr}}$ , and each with  $\delta v < v_r$ . In this case the selection rules of VSCPT are compromised by  $B_x$ , and there is no truly trapped dark state. There are “leaky dark states” or “weakly coupled states”  $|WC\rangle$

[7] that are similar to VSCPT states. We say the lifetime of atoms in  $|WC\rangle$  is limited by such leaks, and attribute these single peaks to the same cause as those that appear with shorter  $T$ .

A simple classical picture of these experiments yields very appealing models. For ordinary VSCPT the  $\sigma^+ - \sigma^-$  optical field forms a standing wave helix of linearly polarized light  $\vec{\mathcal{E}}$  of period  $\lambda/2$ . The atomic wave function is composed of similar waves because its components have  $M_J = \pm 1$  and these components are moving at velocity  $\pm v_r$  so they also form a standing helix of deBroglie waves of period  $\lambda/2$ . When the relative spatial phase of these superposed waves has the electric dipole moment of the atom  $\vec{D}$  everywhere orthogonal to  $\vec{\mathcal{E}}$ , the transition amplitude vanishes and the state is dark. An applied  $\vec{B} = B_z$  simply causes Larmor precession of the atomic wave function about  $\hat{z}$ , and the dark state condition requires that the atom move at  $v_{usr}$  to maintain  $\vec{D} \perp \vec{\mathcal{E}}$ . For  $\vec{B}$  perpendicular to  $\hat{z}$ , say  $\vec{B} = B_x$ , the precession is about  $\hat{x}$  but a similar condition still obtains. Where  $\vec{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}_x$ ,  $\vec{D} = D_y$  and where  $\vec{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}_y$ ,  $\vec{D} = D_x$ . Orthogonality is not preserved all along the trajectory, but the spatial average of  $\vec{\mathcal{E}} \cdot \vec{D}$  vanishes.

A quantum mechanical description of our experiments begins with a Hamiltonian that includes the atomic kinetic energy as well as the internal energy and the optical interaction [7]. Numerical calculations with this model have produced an  $N(v)$  with the characteristic two-peaked VSCPT signal at large  $T$ , but indeed a single-peak of  $\delta v$  below  $v_r$  centered at  $v = 0$  for  $\gamma_p T < \sim 10$ . This model reproduces the features of VSCPT as usually described [8], but also shows the relevance of the detuning and of the experimental interaction time. One of the key features of Ref. [7] is that short interaction times produce  $\delta v < v_r$  but whose underlying physical processes result from interferences in excitation amplitudes, just as in VSCPT.

To describe our experiments, we have added the Zeeman energies to the Hamiltonian of Ref. [7]. For  $\vec{B} = B_z$  so that these are on the diagonal, we have found the same eigenvalues and eigenvectors, however, with  $N(v)$  not centered at zero, but shifted to  $v = v_{usr}$ . When  $\vec{B} = B_x$ , however, we expect and find different phenomena. By choosing the quantization axis along  $\vec{B} = B_x$  the light field can induce  $\sigma^+$ ,  $\pi$ , and  $\sigma^-$  transitions. Thus the selection rules are compromised and the relevant states are analogous to  $|WC\rangle$ . In particular, because of the leak out of  $|WC\rangle$  at rate  $\Gamma$ ,  $N(v)$  has a single peak with  $\delta v < v_r$ , similar to the  $N(v)$  associated with in a short interaction time  $T = 1/\Gamma$ .

**Acknowledgments:** Work supported by the U. S. O.N.R. and A.R.O.

- [1] B. Sheehy et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 858 (1990).
- [2] S-Q. Shang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 317 (1990); *ibid* **67**, 1094 (1991).
- [3] G. Nienhuis et al., Phys. Rev. **A44**, 462 (1991); P. van der Straten et al., Phys. Rev. **A47**, 4160 (1993)
- [4] H. Metcalf and P. van der Straten, *Laser Cooling and Trapping*, Springer, 1999.
- [5] M. Hoogerland et al., Europhys. Lett. **19**, 669 (1992).
- [6] M. J. Bellanca et al., Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **43**, 1366 (1998 ) OP-83; *op. cit.* **44**, 725 (1999) NP01-23.
- [7] M. Doery et al., Phys. Rev. **A52**, 2295, (1995).
- [8] A. Aspect et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 826 (1988).